Counsel for Parties.

## WORMLEY v. DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA. ALLEN v. DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

ERROR TO THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

Nos. 101, 102. Submitted November 12, 1900.—Decided April 29, 1901.

Parsons v. District of Columbia, 170 U. S. 45, and French v. Barber Asphalt Paving Co., ante, 324, followed.

Mr. D. W. Baker, Mr. John C. Gittings and Mr. Malcom Hufty for plaintiff in error.

Mr. Andrew B. Duvall and Mr. Clarence A. Brandenburg for defendant in error.

PER CURIAM. And now, April 29, 1901, the judgments in the foregoing cases are affirmed, with costs, on the authority of *Parsons* v. *District of Columbia*, 170 U. S. 45, and *French* v. *Barber Asphalt Paving Co.*, ante, 324.

## SHUMATE v. HEMAN.

ERROR TO THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI.

No. 550. Argued February 27, 1901.—Decided April 29, 1901.

French v. Barber Asphalt Paving Co., again followed in holding that the contract in question in this case made for the construction of a sewer and the assessment against the property of the plaintiff in error for the cost of making it were not null and void.

THE case is stated in the opinion of the court.

Mr. G. B. Webster for Shumate. Mr. Hiram J. Grover and Mr. Hamilton Grover were on his brief.